

Batalin-Vilkovisky Gauge-Fixing via Homological Perturbation Theory

Carlo Albert

ETH Zürich, Université de Genève

Cargèse 2009

Contents

Introduction to BV

Contents

Introduction to BV

Homological Perturbation Theory

Contents

Introduction to BV

Homological Perturbation Theory

Open Symmetries

Contents

Introduction to BV

Homological Perturbation Theory

Open Symmetries

Effective Actions

Contents

Introduction to BV

Homological Perturbation Theory

Open Symmetries

Effective Actions

The Geometry behind BV

Lagrangian Data

- ▶ Space of fields: \mathcal{A}

$$\mathcal{A} = \Omega^1(\mathbb{M}^4, \mathfrak{g}), \quad \mathbb{M}^4 = \text{Minkowski space}, \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie algebra},$$

Lagrangian Data

- ▶ Space of fields: \mathcal{A}

$$\mathcal{A} = \Omega^1(\mathbb{M}^4, \mathfrak{g}), \quad \mathbb{M}^4 = \text{Minkowski space}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie algebra},$$

- ▶ Action: $S_0 \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathcal{A})$

$$S_0(A) = \int_{\mathbb{M}^4} \text{tr}(F(A) \wedge *F(A)), \quad F(A) := dA + \frac{1}{2}[A \wedge A],$$

Lagrangian Data

- ▶ Space of fields: \mathcal{A}

$$\mathcal{A} = \Omega^1(\mathbb{M}^4, \mathfrak{g}), \quad \mathbb{M}^4 = \text{Minkowski space}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie algebra},$$

- ▶ Action: $S_0 \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathcal{A})$

$$S_0(A) = \int_{\mathbb{M}^4} \text{tr}(F(A) \wedge *F(A)), \quad F(A) := dA + \frac{1}{2}[A \wedge A],$$

- ▶ Formal measure: Ω_0

$$\Omega_0 = \prod_{a,\mu,x} dA_\mu^a(x).$$

Symmetry

$P \subset \Gamma T\mathcal{A}$ linear subspace s.t., for all $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in P$,

$$\mathbf{X}(S_0) = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_{\Omega_0}(\mathbf{X}) = 0,$$

$$[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + dS_0 \lrcorner E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}), \quad T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \in P, \quad E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \in \Gamma \Lambda^2 T\mathcal{A}.$$

Symmetry

$P \subset \Gamma T\mathcal{A}$ linear subspace s.t., for all $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in P$,

$$\mathbf{X}(S_0) = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_{\Omega_0}(\mathbf{X}) = 0,$$

$$[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + dS_0 \lrcorner E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}), \quad T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \in P, \quad E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \in \Gamma \Lambda^2 T\mathcal{A}.$$

On the space of solutions of eqs. of motion ("shell"),

$$\Sigma := \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid dS_0(A) = 0\},$$

P defines a foliation.

Symmetry

$P \subset \Gamma T\mathcal{A}$ linear subspace s.t., for all $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in P$,

$$\mathbf{X}(S_0) = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_{\Omega_0}(\mathbf{X}) = 0,$$

$$[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + dS_0 \lrcorner E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}), \quad T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \in P, \quad E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \in \Gamma \Lambda^2 T\mathcal{A}.$$

On the space of solutions of eqs. of motion ("shell"),

$$\Sigma := \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid dS_0(A) = 0\},$$

P defines a foliation.

The leaf-space of this foliation is the **classical phase space**.

Symmetry

$P \subset \Gamma T\mathcal{A}$ linear subspace s.t., for all $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in P$,

$$\mathbf{X}(S_0) = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_{\Omega_0}(\mathbf{X}) = 0,$$

$$[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + dS_0 \lrcorner E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}), \quad T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \in P, \quad E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \in \Gamma \Lambda^2 T\mathcal{A}.$$

On the space of solutions of eqs. of motion ("shell"),

$$\Sigma := \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid dS_0(A) = 0\},$$

P defines a foliation.

The leaf-space of this foliation is the **classical phase space**.

$$\Omega^0(\mathbb{M}^4, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow P,$$

$$\epsilon \mapsto \mathbf{X}_\epsilon,$$

$$\mathbf{X}_\epsilon(A) = d\epsilon + [A, \epsilon],$$

$$[\mathbf{X}_\epsilon, \mathbf{X}_{\epsilon'}] = \mathbf{X}_{[\epsilon, \epsilon']} \quad \text{"closed symmetry"}$$

Quantization and Gauge Fixing

For any observable, $\mathcal{O} \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathcal{A})^P$ ($\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$, for $\mathbf{X} \in P$), define the **expectation value**

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{O}[A] e^{iS_0[A]/\hbar} \Omega_0}{\int_{\mathcal{A}} e^{iS_0[A]/\hbar} \Omega_0} .$$

Quantization and Gauge Fixing

For any observable, $\mathcal{O} \in C_{loc}^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})^P$ ($\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$, for $\mathbf{X} \in P$), define the **expectation value**

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{O}[A] e^{iS_0[A]/\hbar} \Omega_0}{\int_{\mathcal{A}} e^{iS_0[A]/\hbar} \Omega_0} .$$

Due to non-compact gauge orbits, numerator and denominator diverge.

Quantization and Gauge Fixing

For any observable, $\mathcal{O} \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathcal{A})^P$ ($\mathbf{X}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$, for $\mathbf{X} \in P$), define the **expectation value**

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{O}[A] e^{iS_0[A]/\hbar} \Omega_0}{\int_{\mathcal{A}} e^{iS_0[A]/\hbar} \Omega_0}.$$

Due to non-compact gauge orbits, numerator and denominator diverge.

Idea: "Divide numerator and denominator by the volume of a typical gauge fibre."

Example

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle &= \frac{\int_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{O}[A] e^{iS_0[A]/\hbar} \Omega_0}{\int_{\mathcal{A}} e^{iS_0[A]/\hbar} \Omega_0} \\
 &= \frac{\int_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{O}[A] e^{iS_0[A]/\hbar} \delta(G(x)) \det(\delta G(A)) \Omega_0}{\int_{\mathcal{A}} e^{iS_0[A]/\hbar} \delta(G(x)) \det(\delta G(A)) \Omega_0} \quad \text{e.g. } G(A) = \partial^\mu A_\mu(x) \\
 &= \frac{\int_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{O}[A] e^{i(S_0[A] + \int_{\mathbb{M}^4} \lambda(x) G(x) + \int_{\mathbb{M}^4} \bar{\beta}(x) (\delta G(x)) \beta(x)) / \hbar} \Omega_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}}{\int_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} e^{i(S_0[A] + \int_{\mathbb{M}^4} \lambda(x) G(x) + \int_{\mathbb{M}^4} \bar{\beta}(x) (\delta G(x)) \beta(x)) / \hbar} \Omega_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \Omega^1(\mathbb{M}^4, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \Omega^4(\mathbb{M}^4, \mathfrak{g}^*) \oplus \Omega^0(\mathbb{M}^4, \mathfrak{g}[1]) \oplus \Omega^4(\mathbb{M}^4, \mathfrak{g}^*[-1]).$$

Number in brackets: *ghost degree*.

Example

Gauge-fixed action can be written as

$$S_{gf}(A) = S_0(A) + \mathcal{X}(\Psi)(A),$$

with

$$\Psi(A) = \int_{M^4} \bar{\beta}(x) G(A)(x) \quad (\text{gauge-fixing fermion}),$$

and \mathcal{X} an extension of the gauge-symmetry to \hat{A} , s.t. $\mathcal{X}^2 = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}(A) &= d\beta + [A, \beta], & \mathcal{X}(\beta) &= -\frac{1}{2}[\beta, \beta], \\ \mathcal{X}(\bar{\beta}) &= \lambda, & \mathcal{X}(\lambda) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Example

Gauge-fixed action can be written as

$$S_{gf}(A) = S_0(A) + \mathcal{X}(\Psi)(A),$$

with

$$\Psi(A) = \int_{M^4} \bar{\beta}(x) G(A)(x) \quad (\text{gauge-fixing fermion}),$$

and \mathcal{X} an extension of the gauge-symmetry to \hat{A} , s.t. $\mathcal{X}^2 = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}(A) &= d\beta + [A, \beta], & \mathcal{X}(\beta) &= -\frac{1}{2}[\beta, \beta], \\ \mathcal{X}(\bar{\beta}) &= \lambda, & \mathcal{X}(\lambda) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, gauge-fixed action remembers gauge-symmetry:

$$\mathcal{X}(S_{gf}) = \mathcal{X}(S_0) + \mathcal{X}^2(\Psi) = 0.$$

Gauge-fixing for closed symmetries (Becchi, Rouet, Stora, Tyutin (BRST))

Introduce auxiliary fields (Lagrange multipliers and ghosts), $\mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, such that symmetry extends to a cohomological vector field \mathcal{X} on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, i.e., $\mathcal{X}^2 = 0$.

Gauge-fixing is associated with the choice of a suitable representative in the \mathcal{X} -cohomology class of S_0 :

$$S_{gf} = S_0 + \mathcal{X}(\Psi).$$

Gauge-fixing for closed symmetries (Becchi, Rouet, Stora, Tyutin (BRST))

Introduce auxiliary fields (Lagrange multipliers and ghosts), $\mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, such that symmetry extends to a cohomological vector field \mathcal{X} on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, i.e., $\mathcal{X}^2 = 0$.

Gauge-fixing is associated with the choice of a suitable representative in the \mathcal{X} -cohomology class of S_0 :

$$S_{gf} = S_0 + \mathcal{X}(\Psi).$$

Expectation values of gauge-invariant functions are invariant under variations of $\Psi \Leftrightarrow$ Expectation value is defined on \mathcal{X} -cohomology classes (**Observables**).

Antifield-Formalism (Zinn-Justin)

Notation: Denote fields, Lagrange multipliers and ghosts collectively by z^i .

Antifield-Formalism (Zinn-Justin)

Notation: Denote fields, Lagrange multipliers and ghosts collectively by z^i .

Pair each z^i with an anti-field, z_i^\dagger , of opposite statistics, more precisely, $gh(z_i^\dagger) = -gh(z^i) - 1$.

Mathematically, this means to extend $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ to $T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, which acquires an odd symplectic structure

$$\omega = dz^i \wedge dz_i^\dagger, \quad gh(\omega) = -1.$$

Antifield-Formalism (Zinn-Justin)

Notation: Denote fields, Lagrange multipliers and ghosts collectively by z^i .

Pair each z^i with an anti-field, z_i^\dagger , of opposite statistics, more precisely, $gh(z_i^\dagger) = -gh(z^i) - 1$.

Mathematically, this means to extend $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ to $T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, which acquires an odd symplectic structure

$$\omega = dz^i \wedge dz_i^\dagger, \quad gh(\omega) = -1.$$

The BRST-operator is encoded in extension of the action

$$S = S_0 + S_1, \quad S_1 = z_i^\dagger \mathcal{X}(z^i).$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{X}(f) = \{S_1, f\} = (-1)^{|z^i|} \left(\frac{S_1 \overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^i} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial} f}{\partial z_i^\dagger} - \frac{S_1 \overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z_i^\dagger} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial} f}{\partial z^i} \right).$$

Antifield-Formalism (Zinn-Justin)

Eq. $\mathcal{X}^2 = 0$ reads

$$\{S_1, S_1\} = 0,$$

and due to $\mathcal{X}(S_0) = 0$ we get, in addition,

$$\{S, S\} = \{S_1, S_1\} + 2\{S_1, S_0\} = 0 \quad (\text{Classical Master Eq. (CME)}).$$

Antifield-Formalism (Zinn-Justin)

Eq. $\mathcal{X}^2 = 0$ reads

$$\{S_1, S_1\} = 0,$$

and due to $\mathcal{X}(S_0) = 0$ we get, in addition,

$$\{S, S\} = \{S_1, S_1\} + 2\{S_1, S_0\} = 0 \quad (\text{Classical Master Eq. (CME)}).$$

The gauge-fixing, Ψ , is encoded in the Lagrangian submanifold

$$T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}} \supset \mathcal{L} := \left\{ z_i^\dagger = \frac{\partial \Psi(z)}{\partial z^i} \right\}.$$

Antifield-Formalism (Zinn-Justin)

Eq. $\mathcal{X}^2 = 0$ reads

$$\{S_1, S_1\} = 0,$$

and due to $\mathcal{X}(S_0) = 0$ we get, in addition,

$$\{S, S\} = \{S_1, S_1\} + 2\{S_1, S_0\} = 0 \quad (\text{Classical Master Eq. (CME)}).$$

The gauge-fixing, Ψ , is encoded in the Lagrangian submanifold

$$T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}} \supset \mathcal{L} := \left\{ z_i^\dagger = \frac{\partial \Psi(z)}{\partial z^i} \right\}.$$

We have

$$S|_{\mathcal{L}} = S_0 + z_i^\dagger \mathcal{X}(z^i)|_{\mathcal{L}} = S_0 + \mathcal{X}(\Psi) = S_{gf},$$

and, hence,

$$\int_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} e^{i(S_0 + \mathcal{X}\Psi)/\hbar} \Omega_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} = \int_{\mathcal{L}} e^{iS/\hbar} \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

A new differential appears

$$\delta_0 := \{S_0, \cdot\} \quad \text{Koszul-Tate differential ;}$$

$$(\delta_0^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \{S_0, S_0\} = 0.)$$

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

A new differential appears

$$\delta_0 := \{S_0, \cdot\} \quad \text{Koszul-Tate differential ;}$$

$$(\delta_0^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \{S_0, S_0\} = 0.)$$

Its cohomology is the restriction of functions to the shell:

$$H(T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}}, \delta_0) = C^\infty(T^*[1]\hat{\Sigma}).$$

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

A new differential appears

$$\delta_0 := \{S_0, \cdot\} \quad \text{Koszul-Tate differential ;}$$

$$(\delta_0^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \{S_0, S_0\} = 0.)$$

Its cohomology is the restriction of functions to the shell:

$$H(T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}}, \delta_0) = C^\infty(T^*[1]\hat{\Sigma}).$$

Open symmetries cannot be encoded in a ghost-term S_1 that satisfies $\{S_1, S_1\} = 0$ (BRST-operator), but often we find a ghost extension of the action, $S = S_0 + S'$, that satisfies $\{S, S\} = 0$ (Classical Master Eq. (CME)).

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

A new differential appears

$$\delta_0 := \{S_0, .\} \quad \text{Koszul-Tate differential ;}$$

$$(\delta_0^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \{S_0, S_0\} = 0.)$$

Its cohomology is the restriction of functions to the shell:

$$H(T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}}, \delta_0) = C^\infty(T^*[1]\hat{\Sigma}).$$

Open symmetries cannot be encoded in a ghost-term S_1 that satisfies $\{S_1, S_1\} = 0$ (BRST-operator), but often we find a ghost extension of the action, $S = S_0 + S'$, that satisfies $\{S, S\} = 0$ (Classical Master Eq. (CME)).

With $\delta' := \{S', .\}$, this reads

$$(\delta_0 + \delta')^2 = \delta'^2 + \delta_0\delta' + \delta'\delta_0 = 0.$$

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

Restricting to functions of fields only, $f \in C^\infty(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$, we get

$$\delta'^2(f) = \delta_0 \delta'(f),$$

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

Restricting to functions of fields only, $f \in C^\infty(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$, we get

$$\delta'^2(f) = \delta_0 \delta'(f),$$

which is a reflection of eq.

$$[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + dS_0 \lrcorner E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}).$$

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

Restricting to functions of fields only, $f \in C^\infty(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$, we get

$$\delta'^2(f) = \delta_0 \delta'(f),$$

which is a reflection of eq.

$$[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + dS_0 \lrcorner E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}).$$

Hence, in the open case, δ' is not a differential, but a *perturbation* of δ_0 : $(\delta_0 + \delta')^2 = 0$ (\rightsquigarrow HPT).

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

The cohomology of $\{S, .\}$ contains the **classical observables** (functions on phase space) at degree 0 and **anomalies** at degree one.

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

The cohomology of $\{S, .\}$ contains the **classical observables** (functions on phase space) at degree 0 and **anomalies** at degree one.

Need to incorporate **quantum effects!**

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

The cohomology of $\{S, \cdot\}$ contains the **classical observables** (functions on phase space) at degree 0 and **anomalies** at degree one.

Need to incorporate **quantum effects!**

The expectation value

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = Z^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{O} e^{iS/\hbar} \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$$

is defined on cohomology classes of the differential

$$\delta_{BV} = \{S, \cdot\} - i\hbar \Delta_{\Omega},$$

where the differential

$$\Delta_{\Omega} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^i \partial z_i^{\dagger}}$$

depends on a volume form Ω on the body of $T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}}$.

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

The property $\delta_{BV}^2 = 0$ reads

$$\frac{1}{2}\{S, S\} - i\hbar\Delta_\Omega S = 0 \quad (\text{Quantum Master Eq. (QME)}).$$

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

The property $\delta_{BV}^2 = 0$ reads

$$\frac{1}{2}\{S, S\} - i\hbar\Delta_\Omega S = 0 \quad (\text{Quantum Master Eq. (QME)}).$$

Hence, δ_{BV} is a perturbation of δ_0 (**shell**), by δ_1 (**symmetry**) and $\hbar\Delta_\Omega$ (**quantum effects**).

Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism

The property $\delta_{BV}^2 = 0$ reads

$$\frac{1}{2}\{S, S\} - i\hbar\Delta_\Omega S = 0 \quad (\text{Quantum Master Eq. (QME)}).$$

Hence, δ_{BV} is a perturbation of δ_0 (**shell**), by δ_1 (**symmetry**) and $\hbar\Delta_\Omega$ (**quantum effects**).

Even the geometry behind BV-integrals and the QME becomes clear if one considers $\omega \wedge$ as a perturbation of d .

Homological Perturbation Theory (HPT)

The basic object in *HPT* is the *contraction* (Eilenberg and McLane 50ies). It "contracts some big object onto some small object" without loss of cohomology:

Homological Perturbation Theory (HPT)

The basic object in *HPT* is the *contraction* (Eilenberg and McLane 50ies). It "contracts some big object onto some small object" without loss of cohomology:

$$(N, d_N) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{\rho} \end{array} (M, d_M) \curvearrowright h.$$

Homological Perturbation Theory (HPT)

The basic object in *HPT* is the *contraction* (Eilenberg and McLane 50ies). It "contracts some big object onto some small object" without loss of cohomology:

$$(N, d_N) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{\rho} \end{array} (M, d_M) \curvearrowright h.$$

- ▶ (M, d_M) and (N, d_N) are differential graded modules

Homological Perturbation Theory (HPT)

The basic object in *HPT* is the *contraction* (Eilenberg and McLane 50ies). It "contracts some big object onto some small object" without loss of cohomology:

$$(N, d_N) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{p} \end{array} (M, d_M) \overset{\curvearrowright}{\sim} h.$$

- ▶ (M, d_M) and (N, d_N) are differential graded modules
- ▶ ι and p are chain maps, i.e.,

$$d_M \circ \iota = \iota \circ d_N, \quad d_N \circ p = p \circ d_M,$$

and

$$p \circ \iota = id_N.$$

Hence, p is a surjection and ι an injection.

Homological Perturbation Theory (HPT)

$$(N, d_N) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{p} \end{array} (M, d_M) \overset{\curvearrowright}{\hookrightarrow} h.$$

- ▶ h is a morphism of degree -1 such that

$$\iota \circ p - id_M = hd_M + d_M h.$$

Hence, $H(d_M) \cong H(d_N)$, since

$$p\alpha = 0, \quad d_M\alpha = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -\alpha = d_M \circ h(\alpha).$$

Therefore, h is called *homotopy operator*.

Homological Perturbation Theory (HPT)

$$(N, d_N) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{p} \end{array} (M, d_M) \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} .$$

- ▶ h is a morphism of degree -1 such that

$$\iota \circ p - id_M = hd_M + d_M h .$$

Hence, $H(d_M) \cong H(d_N)$, since

$$p\alpha = 0, \quad d_M\alpha = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -\alpha = d_M \circ h(\alpha) .$$

Therefore, h is called *homotopy operator*.

- ▶ Side conditions (can always be satisfied)

$$h^2 = h \circ \iota = p \circ h = 0 .$$

Homological Perturbation Theory (HPT)

A *perturbation* of the differential d_M is a morphism $\delta : M \rightarrow M$ of degree $+1$, such that $(d_M + \delta)^2 = 0$.

Homological Perturbation Theory (HPT)

A *perturbation* of the differential d_M is a morphism $\delta : M \rightarrow M$ of degree $+1$, such that $(d_M + \delta)^2 = 0$.

Theorem (Perturbation Lemma)

Given a contraction

$$(N, d_N) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{\rho} \end{array} (M, d_M) \begin{array}{c} \hookrightarrow \\ \hookleftarrow \end{array} h$$

and a perturbation, δ , then, formally, there is a new contraction

$$(N, d_N + \tilde{\delta}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} \\ \xleftarrow{\tilde{\rho}} \end{array} (M, d_M + \delta) \begin{array}{c} \hookrightarrow \\ \hookleftarrow \end{array} \tilde{h},$$

$$\tilde{\delta} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \rho \delta (h \delta)^n \iota, \quad \tilde{\iota} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (h \delta)^n \iota, \quad \tilde{\rho} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \rho (\delta h)^n, \quad \tilde{h} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (h \delta)^n h.$$

Open Symmetries

Open symmetry vector fields $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in P$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{X}(S_0) = 0, \quad [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + dS_0 \lrcorner E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}).$$

Open Symmetries

Open symmetry vector fields $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in P$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{X}(S_0) = 0, \quad [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + dS_0 \lrcorner E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}).$$

Hence, they yield a ghost term, S_1 , satisfying

$$\delta_0 S_1 = 0, \quad \{S_1, S_1\} = \delta_0\text{-exact}.$$

Open Symmetries

Open symmetry vector fields $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in P$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{X}(S_0) = 0, \quad [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + dS_0 \lrcorner E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}).$$

Hence, they yield a ghost term, S_1 , satisfying

$$\delta_0 S_1 = 0, \quad \{S_1, S_1\} = \delta_0\text{-exact}.$$

Choose a contraction onto the cohomology of $\delta_0 := \{S_0, \cdot\}$ (shell):

$$(C^\infty(T^*[1]\hat{\Sigma}), 0) \underset{\rho}{\overset{h}{\rightleftarrows}} (C^\infty(\mathcal{E}), \delta_0) \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} h, \quad \mathcal{E} := T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Then, S_1 defines a differential on $C^\infty(T^*[1]\hat{\Sigma})$:

$$\delta_{BRST} := \{\rho(S_1), \cdot\}.$$

Open Symmetries

Open symmetry vector fields $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in P$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{X}(S_0) = 0, \quad [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + dS_0 \lrcorner E(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}).$$

Hence, they yield a ghost term, S_1 , satisfying

$$\delta_0 S_1 = 0, \quad \{S_1, S_1\} = \delta_0\text{-exact}.$$

Choose a contraction onto the cohomology of $\delta_0 := \{S_0, \cdot\}$ (shell):

$$(C^\infty(T^*[1]\hat{\Sigma}), 0) \underset{\rho}{\overset{h}{\rightleftarrows}} (C^\infty(\mathcal{E}), \delta_0) \overset{h}{\curvearrowright} h, \quad \mathcal{E} := T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Then, S_1 defines a differential on $C^\infty(T^*[1]\hat{\Sigma})$:

$$\delta_{BRST} := \{\rho(S_1), \cdot\}.$$

Want to use the perturbation lemma in order to find a differential on $C^\infty(\mathcal{E})$, i.e., terms of higher order in the anti-fields

$S = S_0 + S_1 + S_2 + \dots$, s.t. the CME $\{S, S\} = 0$ is satisfied.

Open Symmetries

Theorem

If $H^1(\delta_{BRST}) = 0$, there is a formal solution

$$S = \sum_{m \geq 0} S_m$$

of the CME

$$\{S, S\} = 0.$$

Open Symmetries

Theorem

If $H^1(\delta_{BRST}) = 0$, there is a formal solution

$$S = \sum_{m \geq 0} S_m$$

of the CME

$$\{S, S\} = 0.$$

Idea of proof: CME is a MCE:

$$\delta_0 \left(\sum_{m \geq 1} S_m \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{m \geq 1} S_m, \sum_{m \geq 1} S_m \right\} = 0.$$

Open Symmetries

Consider $\{.,.\}$ as a perturbation of δ_0 and use the perturbation lemma in order to get an L_∞ -structure on $C^\infty(T^*[1]\hat{\Sigma})$:

$$(\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(T^*[1]\hat{\Sigma}))[1], \{.,.\} + \dots) \underset{\tilde{p}}{\overset{\tilde{i}}{\rightleftarrows}} (\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(\mathcal{E}))[1], \delta_0 + \{.,.\}) \overset{\tilde{h}}{\curvearrowright}$$

The perturbed inclusion \tilde{i} is then a L_∞ -morphism and may be used to map a solution of the MCE on the l.h.s. to a solution of the MCE on the r.h.s.

Open Symmetries

Consider $\{.,.\}$ as a perturbation of δ_0 and use the perturbation lemma in order to get an L_∞ -structure on $C^\infty(T^*[1]\hat{\Sigma})$:

$$(\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(T^*[1]\hat{\Sigma}))[1], \{.,.\} + \dots) \underset{\tilde{p}}{\overset{\tilde{i}}{\rightleftarrows}} (\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(\mathcal{E}))[1], \delta_0 + \{.,.\}) \overset{\curvearrowright}{\sim} \tilde{h}.$$

The perturbed inclusion \tilde{i} is then a L_∞ -morphism and may be used to map a solution of the MCE on the l.h.s. to a solution of the MCE on the r.h.s.

We know already that $\{pS_1, pS_1\} = 0$. Some effort is needed to show that contraction can be chosen in such a way that higher order brackets vanish when filled with pS_1 's (and that's where obstruction shows up).

Effective Actions

- ▶ Let \mathcal{A} be an affine space of fields

Effective Actions

- ▶ Let \mathcal{A} be an affine space of fields
- ▶ and S_0 a free action on it:

$$S_0 = \int \frac{1}{2} \phi^\mu(x) D_{\mu\nu} \phi^\nu(x) dx .$$

Effective Actions

- ▶ Let \mathcal{A} be an affine space of fields
- ▶ and S_0 a free action on it:

$$S_0 = \int \frac{1}{2} \phi^\mu(x) D_{\mu\nu} \phi^\nu(x) dx .$$

- ▶ The differential

$$\delta_0 := \{S_0, \cdot\}$$

is defined on $C^\infty(T^*[1]\mathcal{A})$.

Effective Actions

- ▶ Let \mathcal{A} be an affine space of fields
- ▶ and S_0 a free action on it:

$$S_0 = \int \frac{1}{2} \phi^\mu(x) D_{\mu\nu} \phi^\nu(x) dx .$$

- ▶ The differential

$$\delta_0 := \{S_0, \cdot\}$$

is defined on $C^\infty(T^*[1]\mathcal{A})$.

- ▶ Its cohomology is given by

$$H(\delta_0) = C^\infty(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}_0),$$

where \mathcal{A}_0 is the locus of stationary points of S_0 (zero modes).

Effective Actions

Choose a contraction

$$(Poly(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}_0), 0) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{\rho} \end{array} (Poly(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}), \delta_0) \curvearrowright h.$$

Effective Actions

Choose a contraction

$$(Poly(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}_0), 0) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{p} \end{array} (Poly(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}), \delta_0) \curvearrowright h.$$

- ▶ The projection p is canonical.

Effective Actions

Choose a contraction

$$(Poly(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}_0), 0) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{p} \end{array} (Poly(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}), \delta_0) \curvearrowright h.$$

- ▶ The projection p is canonical.
- ▶ The inclusion ι is given by a splitting $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}''$.

Effective Actions

Choose a contraction

$$(Poly(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}_0), 0) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{p} \end{array} (Poly(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}), \delta_0) \curvearrowright h.$$

- ▶ The projection p is canonical.
- ▶ The inclusion ι is given by a splitting $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}''$.
- ▶ h , acting on $T^*[1]\mathcal{A}''$, reads

$$h(f) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k(f)} \left\{ \int \frac{1}{2} \phi_{\mu}^{\dagger} G^{\mu\nu} \phi_{\nu}^{\dagger}, f \right\}, & k \neq 0 \\ 0, & k = 0 \end{cases},$$

with G denoting the Green's function of D and

$$k \left(\int f_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_t}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s} \phi_{\mu_1}^{\dagger} \dots \phi_{\mu_s}^{\dagger} \phi^{\nu_1} \dots \phi^{\nu_t} \right) = s + t.$$

Effective Actions

Choose a contraction

$$(Poly(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}_0), 0) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{p} \end{array} (Poly(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}), \delta_0) \curvearrowright h.$$

- ▶ The projection p is canonical.
- ▶ The inclusion ι is given by a splitting $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}''$.
- ▶ h , acting on $T^*[1]\mathcal{A}''$, reads

$$h(f) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k(f)} \left\{ \int \frac{1}{2} \phi_\mu^\dagger G^{\mu\nu} \phi_\nu^\dagger, f \right\}, & k \neq 0 \\ 0, & k = 0 \end{cases},$$

with G denoting the Green's function of D and

$$k \left(\int f_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_t}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s} \phi_{\mu_1}^\dagger \dots \phi_{\mu_s}^\dagger \phi^{\nu_1} \dots \phi^{\nu_t} \right) = s + t.$$

- ▶ h is called the **propagator**.

Effective Actions

Now, perturb δ_0 by

- ▶ $\delta_1 := \{S_1, \cdot\}$, where S_1 is an interaction term, and by

Effective Actions

Now, perturb δ_0 by

- ▶ $\delta_1 := \{S_1, \cdot\}$, where S_1 is an interaction term, and by
- ▶ $\hbar\Delta_\Omega$, where Ω is a formal measure on \mathcal{A} .

Effective Actions

Lemma

The perturbed contraction reads

$$(Poly(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}_0), \{W, \cdot\} + \hbar\Delta_{\Omega_0}) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} \\ \xleftarrow{\tilde{p}} \end{matrix} (Poly(T^*[1]\mathcal{A}), \{S, \cdot\} + \hbar\Delta_{\Omega}) \overset{\curvearrowright}{\sim} \hbar,$$

with

$$e^{iW/\hbar} = Z^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{A}''} e^{i(S_0+S_1)/\hbar}[\Omega''], \quad Z = \int_{\mathcal{A}''} e^{iS_0/\hbar}[\Omega''],$$

$$\Delta_{\Omega_0} := p\Delta_{\Omega}, \quad \Omega = p^*(\Omega_0) \wedge \Omega'',$$

$$\tilde{p}(f) = e^{-iW/\hbar} Z^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{A}''} e^{i(S_0+S_1)/\hbar} f[\Omega''].$$

Effective Actions

Key step of proof:

$$\tilde{p}(f) = \sum_{n \geq 0} p((\delta_1 + \hbar \Delta_\Omega)h)^n f = e^{-\hbar^{-1}W} Z^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{A}} e^{\hbar^{-1}(S_0 + S_1)} f[\Omega''] .$$

The Geometry behind BV

- ▶ BV-space $\mathcal{E} = T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ comes with an odd symplectic structure ω .

The Geometry behind BV

- ▶ BV-space $\mathcal{E} = T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ comes with an odd symplectic structure ω .
- ▶ We perform differential geometry w.r.t. the total degree $|\cdot| := gh + deg$.

$$|\omega| = 1 \Rightarrow \omega \wedge \omega = 0$$

and we have two differentials on $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{E})$ that are perturbations of one another:

$$(\omega \wedge + d)^2 = d^2 + \omega \wedge \omega \wedge + (d\omega) \wedge = 0.$$

The Geometry behind BV

- ▶ BV-space $\mathcal{E} = T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ comes with an odd symplectic structure ω .
- ▶ We perform differential geometry w.r.t. the total degree $|\cdot| := gh + deg$.

$$|\omega| = 1 \Rightarrow \omega \wedge \omega = 0$$

and we have two differentials on $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{E})$ that are perturbations of one another:

$$(\omega \wedge + d)^2 = d^2 + \omega \wedge \omega \wedge + (d\omega) \wedge = 0.$$

- ▶ Two contractions:

$$(H(\omega \wedge), \Delta) \rightleftarrows (\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{E}), \omega \wedge + d) \rightleftarrows (\Omega^\bullet(E), d), \quad (1)$$

where E is the body of \mathcal{E} .

The Geometry behind BV

- ▶ BV-space $\mathcal{E} = T^*[1]\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ comes with an odd symplectic structure ω .
- ▶ We perform differential geometry w.r.t. the total degree $|\cdot| := gh + \text{deg}$.

$$|\omega| = 1 \Rightarrow \omega \wedge \omega = 0$$

and we have two differentials on $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{E})$ that are perturbations of one another:

$$(\omega \wedge + d)^2 = d^2 + \omega \wedge \omega \wedge + (d\omega) \wedge = 0.$$

- ▶ Two contractions:

$$(H(\omega \wedge), \Delta) \rightleftarrows (\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{E}), \omega \wedge + d) \rightleftarrows (\Omega^\bullet(E), d), \quad (1)$$

where E is the body of \mathcal{E} .

- ▶ The ordinary integration theory on the r.h.s. defines the *BV integration theory* on the l.h.s.

The Geometry behind BV

- ▶ Via the isomorphism $F^\pi : H(\omega_\wedge) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(E)$, which depends on a projection $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow E$, we define

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} [s] := \int_L F^\pi([s]),$$

where L is the body of the Lagrangian submanifold \mathcal{L} and $\pi(\mathcal{L}) = L$. Elements of $H(\omega_\wedge)$ are called *semidensities*.

The Geometry behind BV

- ▶ Via the isomorphism $F^\pi : H(\omega_\wedge) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(E)$, which depends on a projection $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow E$, we define

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} [s] := \int_L F^\pi([s]),$$

where L is the body of the Lagrangian submanifold \mathcal{L} and $\pi(\mathcal{L}) = L$. Elements of $H(\omega_\wedge)$ are called *semidensities*.

- ▶ Any semidensity can be written in the form

$$[s] = [f\pi^*(\Omega)], \quad \Omega \in \Omega^{\text{top}}(E), \quad f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{E}).$$

Then,

$$F^\pi([f\pi^*(\Omega)]) = \iota_f \Omega \quad \text{"Berezin integration"}$$

The Geometry behind BV

- ▶ In coords, Δ reads

$$\Delta[f(x, x^\dagger)dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m] = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x_i^\dagger} [dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m] = (\Delta_\Omega f)[\Omega],$$

and is called BV-Operator.

The Geometry behind BV

- ▶ In coords, Δ reads

$$\Delta[f(x, x^\dagger)dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m] = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x_i^\dagger} [dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m] = (\Delta_\Omega f)[\Omega],$$

and is called BV-Operator.

- ▶ We have

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} \Delta[s] = 0, \quad \int_{\delta_Q \mathcal{L}} [s] = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \underbrace{[[\Delta, Q]]}_{L_Q} [s] = \int_{\mathcal{L}} Q \Delta[s].$$

Hence, if $\Delta[s] = 0$, $\int_{\mathcal{L}} [s]$ is invariant under Hamiltonian transformations of \mathcal{L} .

The Geometry behind BV

- ▶ In physical applications, \mathcal{L} encodes the choice of a **gauge-fixing** and the semidensity is of the form

$$[s] = \left[e^{iS/\hbar} \Omega \right], \quad S = S_0 + \text{ghost terms} + \text{higher order } \hbar\text{-terms}.$$

$\Delta[s] = 0$ reads

$$\frac{1}{2} \{S, S\} - i\hbar \Delta_{\Omega} S = 0 \quad \text{QME}.$$

The Geometry behind BV

- ▶ In physical applications, \mathcal{L} encodes the choice of a **gauge-fixing** and the semidensity is of the form

$$[s] = \left[e^{iS/\hbar} \Omega \right], \quad S = S_0 + \text{ghost terms} + \text{higher order } \hbar\text{-terms}.$$

$\Delta[s] = 0$ reads

$$\frac{1}{2} \{S, S\} - i\hbar \Delta_{\Omega} S = 0 \quad \text{QME}.$$

- ▶ Trivial example:

$$\int e^{S_0(x^1)} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \rightsquigarrow \int_{\mathcal{L}} e^{S_0(x^1) + x_2^\dagger \beta} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge d\beta^\dagger = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{S_0(x^1)} dx^1.$$

Thank you!

Part of this material can be found in :

C. A., B. Bleile, J. Fröhlich,

Batalin-Vilkovisky Integrals in Finite Dimensions,

arXiv:0812.0464